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## Why is it hard?

1. Each Scene is a dynamical system.
2. Objects interact with their environments.
3. The presence of a new object changes the energy balance in the scene.
4. The problem is under constrained.

## Direct Illumination



## Global Illumination



## The Solution

- The Distant Scene
- The Local Scene
- The Synthetic Objects


## Distant Scene

- A Model of incoming light.
- Contributes direct illumination only.
- The reflections in the scene do not affect the distant illumination.
- Modelled using a envionment map.


## Local Scene

- A model of the local geometry of the scene.
- A model of the local reflectance in the scene estimated from the scene or prior knowledge.
- Contributes direct as well as indirect illumination to the synthetic objects.


## Synthetic Objects

- A model of the geometry of the objects
- A model of the reflectance of the object


## The Method

- Capture the distant illumination in the scene.
- Measure the local BRDF.
$\square$ Model the synthetic objects and the local geometry.
- Render the objects and the local scene using full global illuminations.
- Composit into the original scene using differential rendering.


## Anatomy of a light source

- What is a light source?
- What is the space of all light sources?
- How can we move about in this space ?


## Definitions

## Radiance

Radiance is the amount of energy per unit time per unit solid angle per unit area in the direction of travel.

## Or

The number of photons striking a point from a particular direction per second.

Radiance remains constant along a line in free space.

## Definitions

Free Space $(\mathcal{F})$
A bounded, open connected subset of 3d eucledian space. $\partial \mathcal{F}$ is the boundary of $\mathcal{F}$.

Set of rays $(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}))$
The set of all closed directed lines $\left[\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{2}}\right]$ s.t.

1. $\mathrm{x}_{1} \neq \mathrm{x}_{2}$
2. $\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2} \in \partial \mathcal{F}$
3. The line joining $\mathbf{x}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{2}$ is contained entirely in $\mathcal{F}$.

## Ray Manifold

Given a $z_{0}$, let $\mathbf{r}$ be a ray which passes through $\mathbf{x}_{0}=\left\{x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right\}$, in the direction $\left(p_{0}, q_{0}, 1\right)$, then we make the association

$$
\mathbf{r} \rightarrow\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, p_{0}, q_{0}\right)
$$

Ray Manifold : Given a free space $\mathcal{F}$, the set of rays $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ is a 4-D manifold

## Ray Manifold

$$
R: \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow[0, \infty)
$$

$R$ is the radiance along a ray $r$. Radiance remains constant along in a line in free space.

$$
R_{z_{0}}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, p_{0}, q_{0}\right)=R_{z_{1}}\left(x+\left(z_{1}-z_{0}\right) p_{0}, y_{0}+\left(z_{1}-z_{0}\right) q_{0}, p_{0}, q_{0}\right)
$$

## The Lightsource Hypercube

Given a plane $\mathcal{P}_{z_{0}}$, consider the set of rays

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}=(x, y, p, q): & x \in\left[\frac{h_{x}}{2}, \frac{h_{x}}{2}\right], \\
& y \in\left[-\frac{h_{y}}{2}, \frac{h_{y}}{2}\right] \\
& p \in\left[-\frac{h_{p}}{2}, \frac{h_{p}}{2}\right], \\
& \left.q \in\left[-\frac{h_{q}}{2}, \frac{h_{q}}{2}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

each having uniform radiance, $R\left(h_{x}, h_{y}, h_{p}, h_{q}\right)$.

## Lightsource Hypercube

Some integration shows that the radiant flux from this set of rays is

$$
\Phi=h_{x} h_{y} R\left(h_{x}, h_{y}, h_{p}, h_{q}\right) \int_{-h_{p} / 2}^{h_{p} / 2} \int_{-h_{q} / 2}^{h_{q} / 2} \frac{d p d q}{\left(1+p^{2}+q^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

set
$R\left(h_{x}, h_{y}, h_{p}, h_{q}\right)=\frac{1}{h_{x} h_{y}}\left[\int_{-h_{p} / 2}^{h_{p} / 2} \int_{-h_{q} / 2}^{h_{q} / 2} \frac{d p d q}{\left(1+p^{2}+q^{2}\right)^{2}}\right]^{-1}$
so that

$$
\Phi=1
$$

## Lightsource Hypercube

Let $I(\cdot)$ denote the indicator function on the interval $[-1 / 2,1 / 2]$. A uniform cubic source of unit flux centered at position $\left(0,0, z_{0}\right)$ is a source with the radiance function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{z_{0}}(x, y, p, q)= \\
& R\left(h_{x}, h_{y}, h_{p}, h_{q}\right) I\left(\frac{x}{h_{x}}\right) I\left(\frac{y}{h_{y}}\right) I\left(\frac{p}{h_{p}}\right) I\left(\frac{q}{h_{q}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The set of all light sources can now be obtained by restricting the coordinates in various manners.

## Light Sources

| Real Source | Ideal model | $h_{x}$ | $h_{y}$ | $h_{p}$ | $h_{q}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overcast Sky | Uniform Source | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| Laser | Single Ray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flourescent Tube | Linear Source | $\infty$ | 0 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| Sunlight | Directed Point Source | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | 0 | 0 |

## Light Sources

| Real Source | Ideal model | $h_{x}$ | $h_{y}$ | $h_{p}$ | $h_{q}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Louveres | Fan of rays | $\infty$ | 0 | 0 | $\infty$ |
| Small Panel Light | Point Source | 0 | 0 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| Light through crack | Parallel Rays | $\infty$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rotating spotlight | Fan of rays | 0 | 0 | $\infty$ | 0 |

## Source Rays

That minimal subset $\mathcal{M}_{\text {src }} \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$, s.t. if they are removed, the radiance on the manifold $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ would be identically zero.

## Radiance Map



St. Peter's Basilica
An omni-directional, high dynamic range image that records the incident illumination conditions at a particular point in space.

## Real Pixels are floats

$$
\begin{align*}
I & =L \frac{\pi}{4}\left(\frac{d}{h}\right)^{2} \cos ^{4} \phi t  \tag{1}\\
& =L P e \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$L$ : Scene Radiance
$e=\frac{\pi d^{2}}{4} t$ : exposure.
There is no bound on the magnitude of $I$.

## The radiometric response function


$\square M$ is the observed image brightness.

- $M$ is bounded with finite dynamic range.
- Estimating $I$ requires estimating $g=f^{-1}$.


## Estimating $g$

- Use multiple exposures to estimate $g$.
- Non-Parametric Regression - Debevec \& Malik
- Parametric Regression - Mitsunaga \& Nayar


## Debevec \& Malik

- The range of $f$ is discrete and finite.
- $f$ is monotonic and smooth.

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{i, j} & =f\left(I_{i, j}\right)  \tag{3}\\
g\left(M_{i, j}\right) & =I_{i, j}  \tag{4}\\
g\left(M_{i, j}\right) & =L_{i} P_{i} e_{j}  \tag{5}\\
\log g\left(M_{i, j}\right) & =\log L_{i}+\log P_{i}+\log e_{j} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

## Non-Parametric Regression

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{O}=\sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left[g\left(M_{i, j}\right)-\log L_{i} P_{i}-\log e_{j}\right]^{2}+\lambda \sum_{z} g^{\prime \prime}(z)^{2} \\
g^{\prime \prime}(z)=g(z-1)-2 g(z)+g(z+1)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Mitsunaga \& Nayar

- Assume a flexible polynomial model.
- Perform regression to estimate the parameters of the mode.

$$
I_{i, j}=g\left(M_{i, j}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{N} c_{n} M_{i, j}^{n}
$$

## Mitsunaga \& Nayar

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{I_{i, j}}{I_{i, j+1}}=\frac{L_{i} P_{i} e_{j}}{L_{i} P_{i} e_{j+1}}=R_{q, q+1}=\frac{g\left(M_{i, j}\right)}{g\left(M_{i, j+1}\right)} \\
\mathcal{O}=\sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left[\sum_{n} c_{n} M_{i, j}^{n}-R_{j, j+1} \sum_{n} c_{n} M_{i, j+1}^{n}\right]^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{n} c_{n}=I_{\max }
$$

## Re-estimating Exposure Ratios

$$
R_{i, j+1}^{(k)}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\sum_{n} c_{c}^{(k)} M_{i, j}^{n}}{\sum_{n} c_{n}^{(k)} M M_{i, j+1}^{n}}
$$

## Calculating the HDR Image

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log I_{i}=\frac{\sum_{j} w\left(M_{i, j}\right)\left(g\left(M_{i, j}\right)-\log e_{j}\right)}{\sum_{j} w\left(M_{i, j}\right)} \\
w(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z-Z_{\min } \text { for } z \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(Z_{\min }+Z_{\max }\right) \\
Z_{\max }-z \text { for } z>\frac{1}{2}\left(Z_{\min }+Z_{\max }\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
w(z)=\frac{g(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Estimating g: a second look

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{A}=f\left(I_{A}\right)=f\left(L P e_{A}\right) \\
M_{B}=f\left(I_{B}\right)=f\left(L P e_{B}\right) \\
\frac{I_{A}}{e_{A}}=\frac{I_{B}}{e_{B}}=L P
\end{gathered}
$$

or

$$
g\left(M_{B}\right)=k g\left(M_{A}\right), \quad k=e_{B} / e_{A}
$$

## Brightness Transfer Function

$$
M_{B}=T\left(M_{A}\right)=g^{-1}\left(k g\left(M_{A}\right)\right)
$$

The brightness transfer function relates the brightness change from one image to the other as the exposure changes.

## Properties of $T$

If $g$ is smooth and monotonically increasing with a smooth inverse. $g(0)=0, g(1)=1$ and $k>1$, then

- $T$ is monotonically increasing.
$\square T(0)=0$
- $T(M) \geq M$

■ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{-n}(M)=0$

## Fractal Ambiguity

$$
g(T(M))=k g(M)
$$

if $T(M) \in[a, b]$, then the above equation relates

$$
g([a, b]) \leftrightarrow g\left(\left[T^{-1}(a), T^{-1}(b)\right]\right)
$$

in the case of $[a, b]=\left[T^{-(1}(1), 1\right]$, it is

$$
\begin{gathered}
g\left(\left[T^{-1}(1), 1\right]\right) \leftrightarrow g\left(\left[T^{-2}(1), T^{-1}(1)\right]\right) \\
g\left(\left[T^{-n}(1), T^{-(n-1)}(1)\right]\right) \leftrightarrow g\left(\left[T^{-(n+1)}(1), T^{-n}(1)\right]\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Fractal Ambiguity

- The above equations constrain the behaviour of $g$ on $\left[0, T^{-1}(1)\right)$.
- The behaviour on $\left[T^{-1}(1), 1\right]$ is underconstrained.
- We can choose an abitrary smooth monotonic function $s$, s.t $s(1)=1$, and $s\left(T^{-1}(1)\right)=1 / k$ and extend it to a solution $g$.
- Debevec \& Mailk solved the ambiguity by imposing a smoothness constraint.
- Mitsunaga \& Nayar constrained the solution to be a polynomial.


## Exponential Ambiguity

What if, $k$ as well as $g$ are both unknown?

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(T(M)) & =k g(M) \\
{[g(T(M))]^{\gamma} } & =[k g(M)]^{\gamma} \\
g^{\gamma}(T(M)) & =k^{\gamma} g^{\gamma}(M)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence is $(g, k)$ is a solution then $\left(g^{\gamma}, k^{\gamma}\right), \gamma>0$ is also a solution.
$g$ and $k$ cannot be jointly estimated.

## Recovering the Exposure Ratio

It is possible to recover the exposure ratio of two images without knowing the response function $g$.

$$
\begin{align*}
g(T(M)) & =k g(M)  \tag{7}\\
g^{\prime}(T(M)) T^{\prime}(M) & =k g^{\prime}(M) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

If $g^{\prime}(0) ; 0$, then

$$
k=T^{\prime}(0)
$$

What happened to the exponential ambiguity?

## Estimation without registration

- Previous approaches were based on exact pixel correspondences.
- Difficult getting data which is perfectly aligned.
- Is it possible to recover the transfer function without exact pixel correspondence?


## Estimation without registration

$H_{A}$ and $H_{B}$ are empirical distribution functions of image brightness values.
$H_{A}(u)=\#$ of points in A with brightness less than or equal to $u$.
Hence,
$H_{B}(T(u))=$ \# of points in B with brightness less than or equal to $T(u)$
= \# of points in A with brightneess less than
or equal to $u$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{B}(T(u))=H_{A}(u) \\
T(u)=H_{B}^{-1}\left(H_{A}(u)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Back to inserting objects

## Estimating local scene BRDF

1. Assume reflectance model.
2. Render the local scene.
3. Compare computed with actual.
4. Adjust paramerts of reflectance model.
5. Goto 2.

## Difierential Rendering

1. Render the local scene $L_{\text {local }}$
2. Calculate difference between the actual and the computed.

$$
L_{\text {error }}=L_{\text {actual }}-L_{\text {local }}
$$

3. Render the local scene with the synthetic objects $L_{\text {object }}$
4. Composit using the error.

$$
L_{\text {final }}=L_{\text {actual }}+\left(L_{\text {object }}-L_{\text {error }}\right)
$$
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